## Unicity in Approximation of a Function and its Derivatives

## By Lee Johnson

For f continuous and real on [0, 1], let  $||f|| = \max |f(x)|$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . In this journal, Moursund [3] proved

THEOREM 1. Let f be twice differentiable on [0, 1]. Among all polynomials h(x) of degree n or less, let p(x) be the one that minimizes: max  $\{||h - f||, ||h' - f'||\}$ . If q(x) is another such minimizing polynomial, then q' = p'.

Let  $f^i$  denote the *i*th derivative of f. Moursund's result can be extended to:

THEOREM 2. Let f be (k + 1)-times differentiable on [0, 1]. Among all polynomials h(x) of degree n or less, let p(x) be the one that minimizes:

$$\max \{ ||h - f||, ||h^{1} - f^{1}||, \dots, ||h^{k} - f^{k}|| \}.$$

If q(x) is another such minimizing polynomial, then  $q^k = p^k$ .

We need some preliminary results before establishing Theorem 2. Let  $M(h) = \max \{||h||, \dots, ||h^k||\}$ . The functional M is a norm on the set S of functions that are (k + 1)-times differentiable on [0, 1].

Let Q denote the set of polynomials of degree n or less. Call  $p_0 \in Q$  a best approximation to  $f \in S$  if  $M(p_0 - f) \leq M(q - f)$ , for all  $q \in Q$ . It can be shown [1] that the set of best approximations is convex and nonempty.

Call  $x \in [0, 1]$  an extreme point of p - f if for some  $i, 0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $|p^i(x) - f^i(x)| = ||p^i - f^i|| = M(p - f)$ . Denote the set of extreme points of p - f by E(p, f). Standard arguments quickly show [2] that p is a best approximation to f if and only if p is a best approximation to f on E(p, f).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let p and q be two best approximations to f; and suppose  $p^k \neq q^k$ . Let c = tp + (1 - t)q,  $t \in (0, 1)$ ; then c is also a best approximation to f. Using  $p^k \neq q^k$ , we will construct an approximation to f that is better than c on E(c, f), giving a contradiction. Let  $a_i = j$  if there are j points x in (0, 1) such that  $|c^i(x) - f^i(x)| = ||c^i - f^i|| = M(c - f)$ .

Let  $b_i = 0, 1, 2$  according as none, one or both of z = 0, z = 1 are such that  $|c^i(z) - f^i(z)| = M(c - f)$ . In particular,  $a_i = b_i = 0$  if  $||c^i - f^i|| < M(c - f)$ . If  $x_0$  is among the  $a_i$  extreme points of  $c^i - f^i$ , then

(1)  $x_0$  is not among the  $a_{i+1}$  extreme points of  $c^{i+1} - f^{i+1}$ ,

(2)  $p^{i}(x_{0}) - f^{i}(x_{0}) = q^{i}(x_{0}) - f^{i}(x_{0}) = \pm M(c - f),$ 

(3)  $p^{i+1}(x_0) - f^{i+1}(x_0) = q^{i+1}(x_0) - f^{i+1}(x_0) = 0.$ 

From (2) and (3),  $p^i(x) - q^i(x)$  has at least  $2a_i + b_i$  zeroes. We will show that  $p^i - q^i$  has at least  $(b_0 + \cdots + b_i) + 2(a_0 + \cdots + a_i) - i$  zeroes.

LEMMA. Let h(x) be a polynomial with r single zeroes, s double zeroes and t triple zeroes. Let h'(x) have u double zeroes—none of which are among the t triple zeroes of h(x). Then h'(x) has at least r + 2s + 3t + 2u - 1 zeroes.

*Proof.* Let r + s + t = v, and label the zeroes of h(x) as  $x_1, \dots, x_v; x_i < x_{i+1}$ .

Received November 16, 1967.

In  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  there is a zero of h'(x); furthermore, this zero must be of odd multiplicity. Also none of the *u* double zeroes of h'(x) are counted among the *v* distinct zeroes of h(x). Counting the zeroes of h'(x) we obtain

(a) s + 2t; from the multiple zeroes of h(x),

(b) v - 1; the zeroes of h'(x) in  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,

(c) 2u; as noted, the v - 1 zeroes in (b) are of odd multiplicity. If one of the u double zeroes of h'(x) is included in (b), this zero must have been of multiplicity 3 or more.

Adding (a), (b) and (c), establishes the lemma.

Using (1), (2) and (3) from above; and applying the lemma repeatedly to the derivatives of p(x) - q(x), we obtain

(4)  $p^{i}(x) - q^{i}(x)$  has at least  $(b_{0} + \cdots + b_{i}) + 2(a_{0} + \cdots + a_{i}) - i$  zeroes. As  $p^{k} - q^{k} \neq 0$ , it must be that  $n - k \ge (b_{0} + \cdots + b_{k}) + 2(a_{0} + \cdots + a_{k}) - k$ .

The same argument, starting with  $p^{j}(x) - q^{j}(x)$ , gives that  $p^{k} - q^{k}$  has  $(b_{j} + \cdots + b_{k}) + 2(a_{j} + \cdots + a_{k}) - (k - j)$  zeroes. Thus,  $p^{k} \neq q^{k}$  means that (5)  $(b_{j} + \cdots + b_{k}) + 2(a_{j} + \cdots + a_{k}) \leq n - j, \ 0 \leq j \leq k.$ 

We will use (5) to construct a polynomial r(x) such that  $r^i(y) - f^i(y) = 0$  if y is one of the  $a_i + b_i$  extreme points of  $c^i - f^i$ . Select s points in (0, 1), distinct from the  $a_0 + b_0$  extreme points of c - f; where  $s + (b_0 + \cdots + b_k) + 2(a_0 + \cdots + a_k) = n + 1$ . Note that from (5),  $s \ge 1$ .

Let  $D(x) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n)$ ,  $D^1(x) = (0, 1, 2x, \dots, nx^{n-1})$ ,  $D^2(x) = (0, 0, 2, \dots, n(n-1)x^{n-2})$ . Define  $D^i$  similarly,  $i = 3, \dots, k$ . We will form an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  "Vandermonde-like" matrix A, as follows. For each of the s points  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  chosen in (0, 1), let A have a row of the form  $D(y_i)$ . For each of the  $a_0$  extreme points w of c - f, let A have two rows of the form

$$egin{array}{ll} D(w) \ D'(w) \end{array}$$
 .

For each of the  $b_0$  "end-point" extreme points z of c - f, let A have a row of the form D(z).

Generally, for each of the  $a_i$  extreme points w of  $c^i - f^i$ , let A have 2 rows of the form

$$egin{array}{lll} D^i(w) \ D^{i+1}(w) \end{array}.$$

For each of the  $b_i$  "end-point" extreme points of  $c^i - f^i$ , let A have a row of the form  $D^i(z)$ .

We now show that A is nonsingular. Suppose  $Ad^{T}$  is the zero vector; where  $d = (d_{0}, d_{1}, \dots, d_{n})$ . Form  $h(x) = d_{n}x^{n} + \dots + d_{1}x + d_{0}$ . Clearly,  $h^{i}(x)$  has  $a_{i}$  double zeroes and  $b_{i}$  single zeroes;  $0 \leq i \leq k$ . Applying the lemma,  $h^{k}(x)$  has  $s + (b_{0} + \dots + b_{k}) + 2(a_{0} + \dots + a_{k}) - k = n + 1 - k$  zeroes. As  $h^{k}(x)$  has degree n - k or less,  $h^{k} = 0$ .

Using (5), and  $s + (b_0 + \cdots + b_k) + 2(a_0 + \cdots + a_k) = n + 1$ , we have

$$s + (b_0 + \cdots + b_j) + 2(a_0 + \cdots + a_j) \ge j + 2; j = 0, 1, \cdots, k - 1.$$

Hence by (4),  $h^{j}(x)$  has at least 2 zeroes,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ . As  $h^{k} = 0$ , this

874

shows that h = 0, or  $d_i = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Thus, A is nonsingular.

As A is nonsingular, we can fit  $f(x), f^{1}(x), \dots, f^{k}(x)$  exactly on the  $(b_{0} + \dots + b_{k})$  $+ 2(a_0 + \cdots + a_k) \leq n$  extreme points of c - f. That is, we can find r(x) of degree n or less, so that if  $|c^i(x') - f^i(x')| = ||c^i - f^i|| = M(c - f)$ , then  $r^{i}(x') - f^{i}(x') = 0$ . It may well be, even though  $r^{i}(x') - f^{i}(x') = 0$ , that  $|r^{j}(x') - f^{j}(x')| \ge M(c - f)$  for some  $j, j \ne i$ . If this is the case, x' must not have been one of the  $a_j + b_j$  extreme points of  $c^i - f^j$ . If  $|c^j(x') - f^j(x')| < M(c - f)$ , there is some  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|t(r^{i}(x') - f^{i}(x')) + (1 - t)(c^{i}(x') - f^{i}(x'))| < M(c - f).$$

As E(c, f) was supposed to be a finite set, we can use the above remark to choose some  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|t(r^{i}(x) - f^{i}(x)) + (1 - t)(c^{i}(x) - f^{i}(x))| < M(c - f)$$
, for all  $x \in E(c, f)$ ,

 $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . This gives tr + (1 - t)c a better approximation to f on E(c,f)than is c. Thus, c could not have been a best approximation.

The proof above, except for cumbersome notational modifications, clearly establishes the more general

**THEOREM** 3. Let  $i, j, \dots, k$  be any finite sequence of nonnegative integers,  $i < j < \cdots < k$ . Let f(x) be (k + 1)-times differentiable on [a, b]. Among all polynomials h(x) of degree n or less, let p(x) be one that minimizes:

$$\max \{ ||h^{i} - f^{i}||, ||h^{j} - f^{j}||, \cdots, ||h^{k} - f^{k}|| \}.$$

If q(x) is another such minimizing polynomial, then  $q^k = p^k$ .

Virginia Polytechnic Institute **Department of Mathematics** Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

1. R. E. LANGER (Editor), On Numerical Approximation, Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison.

Wis., 1959, pp. 11-23.
W. JOHNSON, Approximation of Vector-Valued Functions, Dissertation, Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, Mich., 1967.
D. G. MOURSUND, "Chebyshev approximation of a function and its derivatives," Math. Comp., v. 18, 1964, pp. 382-389.